

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/691,815 . 10/23/2003 James R. Grabek 2981 6313 12/02/2004 7590 EXAMINER Beck & Tysver, P.L.L.C. JOHNSON III, HENRY M Suite 100 2900 Thomas Avenue S. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Minneapolis, MN 55416 3739

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/691,815	GRABEK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Henry M Johnson, III	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day II apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11/08.	<u>/2004</u> .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowant		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	k parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 		
Application Papers		/
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	pted or b)⊠ objected to by the £	Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign partial All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		-
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/691,815

Art Unit: 3739

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11/08/2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In placing of the electrodes on the epicardium, Ideker et al. clearly state this is within the pericardial space, implying an intact pericardium.

Drawings

This application lacks formal drawings. The informal drawings filed in this application are acceptable for examination purposes. When the application is allowed, applicant will be required to submit new formal drawings.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The new paragraph inserted at the end of page 2 should read "as is well known" (not as if).

Claim Objections

Claim1 is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 6, the word location should be locations. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3739

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 6,484,057 to Ideker et al. Ideker et al. discloses methods for treating cardiac arrhythmias by implanting pulsing electrodes, the electrodes may be placed against or proximate the atrial epicardium in the pericardial space (Col. 9, lines 3-6). The position of the electrodes may be determined by sensing the activity pattern (this is interpreted as determining pacing thresholds) at multiple locations to determine the position of the pulsing electrode (Claim 32). It is implicit the electrodes would be attached to the epicardium to maintain the critical positioning. Ideker et al. teach the electrodes at the myocardium within the pericardial space implying the pericardial sac is intact (Col. 11. lines 26-27). Ideker et al. do not disclose an asymmetrical electrode. At the time the invention was made, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to a person of ordinary skill in the art to configure the pulse electrode with any shape because Applicant has not disclosed that a specific shape provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose, or solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with any shape electrode that provided the required pulse to establish normal cardiac activity. Therefore, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to use an asymmetrical electrode in the configuration of Ideker et al. to obtain the invention as specified in claim 1.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 3739

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Henry M Johnson, III whose telephone number is (571) 272-4768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 6:00 AM to 3:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C Dvorak can be reached on (571) 272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Henry M. Johnson, III

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 3739

HOY D. GIBSON PRIMARY EXAMINER